

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 04711 312047Z

73

ACTION EUR-10

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 NSC-07 NSCE-00 CIAE-00 INR-11

NSAE-00 RSC-01 PM-03 L-02 SP-02 PRS-01 ACDA-10 OMB-01

TRSE-00 SAJ-01 DRC-01 /065 W

----- 126675

R 311925Z AUG 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7367

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 4350

USDEL MBFR

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

USLOSACLANT

CINCLANT

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 4711

LIMDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MARR, NATO

SUBJECT: MILITARY PROCUREMENT AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 1975

REF: A. USNATO 4319

B. STATE 185915

C. USNATO 4587

D. USNATO 4659

1. DE ROSE (FRANCE) CAME TO SEE RUMSFELD ON AUGUST 30 TO DISCUSS
CONTENTSO F HIS LETTER TO ACTING SYG PANS (REF C). DE ROSE SAID HE AND

HIS SENIOR STAFF HAD READ SECTION 302 AND RUMSFELD'S EXPLANATORY
LETTER WITH INTEREST, GRATITUDE, AND COLSE ATTENTION; AND THAT EACH
HAD SEPARATELY CONCLUDED THAT PHRASING OF STATUTE ITSELF WOULD, IF
IT CAME TO ATTENTION OF ANTI-U.S. EUROPEAN JOURNALISTS PROVE MOST
UNHELPGUL. DE ROSE SAID HE WISHED CLARIFY MATTERS FOR HIMSELF
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 04711 312047Z

BEFORE REPORTING TO QUAL D'ORSAY.

2. WITH RESPECT TO 302(A), DE ROSE EXPRESSED SOME CONCERN. HE
POINTED OUT THAT STATUTORY LANGUAGE, UNLIKE REPEATED ASSURANCES

BY PRESIDENT TO ALLIES, DOES NOT SAY THAT THERE WILL BE NO REDUCTION IN STRENGTH OF U.S. TROOPS STATIONED IN EUROPE EXCEPT IN MBFR CONTEXT. ON CONTRARY, IT HAS RING OF MANSFIELD RESOLUTIONS IN THAT IT REQUIRES REDUCTION OF 18,000 IN A NON-COMBAT CATEGORY ALTHOUGH IT DOES AUTHORIZE SECDEF TO INCREASE COMBAT STRENGTH BY LIKE NUMBER.

3. RUMSFELD REPLIED THAT ONE SHOULD READ AND UNDERSTAND SECTION 302 IN CONTEXT AND IN LIGHT OF LEGISLATIVE HISTORY, AND AGAINST BACKGROUND OF NORMAL CONGRESSIONAL PROCEDURES. FIRST, RUMSFELD NOTED THAT IN SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES USE OF WORD "AUTHORIZED" WAS NORMAL. CONGRESS HAS EXPRESSED ITS WILL WITH RESPECT TO REDUCTION OF HEADQUARTERS AND SUPPORT PERSONNEL AND EXPECTS COMPLIANCE, AND IT HAS ALLOWED EXECUTIVE BRANCH LATITUDE TO USE THAT MILITARY MANPOWER IN WAYS AND IN PLACES WHICH IT DEEMS BEST. IT WOULD BE RARE, AND PERHAPS EVEN AN INFRINGEMENT OF RIGHTS OF EXECUTIVE BRANCE, IF CONGRESS

WERE TO DIRECT SECDEF TO CONVERT 18,000 SPACES FROM NON-COMBAT TO COMBAT AND TO REQUIRE BY IMPLICATION A SPECIFIED MILITARY MANPOWER LEVEL IN ANY GEOGRAPHIC REGION.

4. RUMSFELD EXPLAINED THAT IN 1973 JACKSON-NUNN AMENDMENT HAD ATTRACTED

SUFFICIENT VOTES THAT INITIATIVES OF SENATOR MANFILED AND HIS BACKERS WERE DEFEATED . THE ADMINISTRATION WITH HELP OF ITS ALLIES HAS SUCCEEDED IN FY 74 IN EFFECTING SUBSTANTIAL COMPLIANCE WITH JACKSON-NUNN. DURING INTERIM SENATOR NUNN,WHO SEEMS NOT UNFRIENDLY TO ALLIANCE BUT NOT UNAWARE OR UNCRITICAL OF ITS SHORTCOMINGS, INSPECTED

FORCES IN EUROPE AND REACHED CERTAIN CONCLUSIONS. SECTION 302 REPRESENTS EFFORT BY SENATOR NUNN AND OTHER MEMBERS OF CONGRESS TO IMPROVE THE ALLIANCE AND AT SAME TIME HELP: 1) TO WARD OFF MEAT-AX UNILATERAL FORCE CUTS, 2) TO MOVE FROM ESSENTIALLY NEGATIVE BOP BASED JACKSON-NUNN FORMULATION TO MORE CONSTRUCTIVE PROPOSAL, 3) TO ASSIST ADMINISTRATION, SECDEF AND U.S. MILITARY TO SOLVE SEVERAL CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 04711 312047Z

PROBLEMS THAT HAVE VEXED NATO ALLIANCE FOR MANY YEARS. DE ROSE EXPRESSED APPRECIATION FOR THIS EXPLANATION, ADDING THAT FRENCH DEFMIN HAS JUST ISSUED ORDERS TO CONVERT 6 PERCENT OF FRENCH MILITARY PERSONNEL STRENGTH FROM TAIL TO TEETH.

5. IN DE ROSE'S VIEW SECTION 302(C) COULD BE READ TO MEAN A) U.S. SEEKS TOTAL STANDARDIZATION OF WEAPONRY LIKE WARSAW PACT, AND B) CONGRESS PLANS REVIEW SECDEF'S SENIANNUAL REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND THEN, HAVING APPROVED THEM, WILL EXPECT U.S. IN NATO TO REQUIRE THAT ALLIED GOVERNMENTS COMPLY WITH ARMS STANDARDIZATION SCHEMES THAT ARE ENTIRELY OF AMERICAN ORIGIN. RUMSFELD SCOFFED AT SUCH A FAR-FETCHED INTERPRETATION, COMMENTING THAT SECRETARY LAIRD, SECRETARY SCHLESINGER AND ALMOST BY EVERY OTHER NATO

DEFENSE MINISTER HAVE IN PUBLIC AND IN PRIVATE DEPLORED WASTE OF SCARCE RESOURCES AND URGED STANDARDIZATION/INTEROPERABILITY/RATIONALIZATION/SPECIALIZATION FOR MANY YEARS. MEMBERS OF CONGRESS KNOW ARMS PROCUREMENT QUESTION IS COMPLICATED; THEY HAVE GIVEN NO PRESCRIPTION BUT HAVE ASKED FOR REPORTS FROM SECDEF WITH VIEW TO IMPROVING ALLIANCE'S CONVENTIONAL COMBAT CAPABILITY. THEY, LIKE FRANCE AND OTHER ALLIES, KNOW THAT NATO IS AT WORK IN ALLIANCE DEFENSE AND TECHNOLOGY STUDY (ADIT) AND ON REATIONALIZATION /SPECIALIZATION PROJECT; AND THEY WANT TO SEE RESULTS. IT WOULD BE INACCURATE TO THINK THAT LANGUAGE MEANS THAT CONGRESS OR USG INTENDS PASS JUDGMENT ON ARMAMENTS POSSESSED BY ALLIED MILITARY; ON OTHER HAND, USG (EXECUTIVE AND LEGISLATURE) IN DETERMINING LEVEL, COMPOSITION, AND EQUIPMENT PURCHASES FOR U.S. FORCES CANNOT, IN DISCHARGE THEIR OBLIGATIONS TO AMERICAN TAXPAYERS, REMAIN OBLIVIOUS TO WHAT ALLIES ARE CONTRIBUTING TO COMMON DEFENSE.

6. IN REPLY TO DE ROSE'S QUESTION ABOUT 302(D), RUMSFELD AGAIN ALLUDED TO LEGISLATIVE HISTORY AND MENTIONED CONCERN OFTEN VOICED BY SENATOR SYMINGTON AND OTHERS ABOUT NUMBER OF TACTICAL NUCLEAR WEAPONS IN EUROPE. HE ALSO RECALLED THAT SECRETARY KISSINGER HAD REFERRED TO SAME QUESTION DURING SAN CLEMENTE MEETING WITH PERMREPS IN SUMMER 1973. THE SUBJECT WAS ONE THAT BY ITS VERY NATURE REQUIRED CONTINUOUS REVIEW BY USG IN LIGHT OF TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES AND CHANGING WORLD CONDITIONS. CONGRESS BY THIS PARAGRAPH HAS DEMONSTRATED ITS AWARENESS AND REQUESTED A REPORT BY SECDEF TO BOTH FOREIGN AFFAIRS AND ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEES IN BOTH HOUSES. CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 NATO 04711 312047Z

THERE IS CONCERN AMONG AMERICANS IN AND OUT OF GOVERNMENT ABOUT 1) BUDGETARY COSTS OF PRODUCING AND DEPLOYING NUCLEAR WEAPONS, 2) AMOUNT OF INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO PUBLIC ABOUT WEAPONS, THEIR EFFECTS AND PLANNED USES, 3) RELEVANCE OF MBFR OPTIONS, 4) PHYSICAL SECURITY OF WEAPONS IN LIGHT OF TERRORISTS ACTIVITIES, AND 5) WHETHER A WEAPONS NOW DEPLOYED ARE OF THE RIGHT TYPES AND IN THE RIGHT LOCATIONS. MEMBERS OF CONGRESS, RUMSFELD CONCLUDED, MUST SHOW THEIR CONSTITUENTS THAT THEY ARE ALERT AND ATTUNED TO THE TIMES; AND THEY SEEM TO BE DEMONSTRATING BY ENACTING 302(D) THAT THEY WANT TO SATISFY THEMSELVES THAT THE BUREAUCRATS AND THE MILITARY ARE COPING EFFECTIVELY WITH ACTUAL THREAT THAT FACES U.S. AND OUR ALLIES TODAY AND IN YEARS TO COME.

7. ON LEAVING DE ROSE SAID HE HAD BEEN UNAWARE PROVISIONS SECTION 302 UNTIL HE RECEIVED RUMSFELD'S LETTER; AND HE BELIEVED GOF WAS ALSO UNIFORMED. HE EXPRESSED THANKS FOR EXPLANATION WHICH WOULD BE MOST USEFUL TO HIS AUTHORITIES IN PARIS AND HE STRONGLY RECOMMENDED

THAT RUMSFELD DO BRIEFING ALONG SOME LINES TO SYG AND ALL NATO AMBASSADORS IN INFORMAL SETTING, PERHAPS AT EARLY PERMREPS LUNCH. OTHERWISE HE FEARED SECTION 302 WOULD ESCAPE ATTENTION IT MERITS

OR BE MISUNDERSTOOD BY EUROPEANS.

8. COMMENT: I MAY DISCUSS SECTION 302 AT AN EARLY PERMREPS
LUNCH NEXT MONTH. IF WASHINGTON HAS SUGGESTIONS, PLEASE ADVISE. END
COMMENT.RUMSFELD

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic DecapTIONing: Z
Capture Date: 11 JUN 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 31 AUG 1974
DecapTION Date: 28 MAY 2004
DecapTION Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: garlanwa
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ATO04711
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740888/abbrywws.tel
Line Count: 169
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Reference: A. USNATO 4319 B. STATE 185915 C. USNATO 4587 D. USNATO 4659
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: garlanwa
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 10 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <10 APR 2002 by martinml>; APPROVED <03-Oct-2002 by garlanwa>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MILITARY PROCUREMENT AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 1975
TAGS: MARR, NATO
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS
MBFR
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR
USLOSACLANT
CINCLANT

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005